

SCIENCE







BGA Annual Conference 2023

Objectives

- Develop FEM models to replicate normal and tangential loading of chrome steel balls, Leighton Buzzard sand, and carbonate sand, considering surface roughness, particle shape variations, and different loading conditions.
- Compare FEM models to theoretical models (Hertz for normal loading, Mindlin and Deresiewics for tangential loading) by analyzing experimental behavior
- Investigating contact area discrepancies for different particle types.



Assumptions

The analysis focuses on particle contact between the two halves. It assumes equal displacement deformation at the particle contact and the contact between their ends and the platens (circled in green).

Roughness values chosen generally range from 1 to 2 times the Root Mean Square (RMS) roughness values for each material. Asperities are represented by a sine wave distribution, and the RMS roughness represents a positive average, neglecting the formation of asperities through surface indentations and extrusions.

An investigation of the contact behaviour of sands using the Finite Element Method James Bingham, Dr Vincenzo Nardelli. Department of Engineering Science, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.

Methodology











Various FEM models were used to improve accuracy and enable tangential loading modelling. These included a 2D spherical model revolved to form a hemisphere and a more advanced 3D semiellipsoid configuration. Partitions were implemented to:

- near contact,
- ensure smoother element sizes,
- with a thin layer.







• increase element density transitions between • represent the surface roughness of a particle





LBS = Leighton Buzzard Sand

Conclusions

- particles.
- with literature or experiments.
- theories.
- However, the FEM model fell short in fully capturing the modeling approach.

 The FEM models accurately replicate the contact behavior between chrome steel balls and Leighton Buzzard sand

• The FEM models successfully accounted for variations in load and stiffness of LBS particles, with parameters aligned

 Ellipsoidal models captured the initial softness of normal loading, surpassing predictions from spherical and Hertz

• The FEM models replicated the overall tangential behavior of Leighton Buzzard sand particles, although with less consistency compared to initial normal loading.

normal response of carbonate sand. The complex surface with micro pores and high porosity necessitates a different